ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ.—ಆ ತಾರತಮ್ಯವೇಕೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ —ನಾನು ಹೋಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ.—ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕಡ್ಡಾಯ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಅನ್ವಯಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ? ಅವರಿಗೆ ಟ್ರೈನಿಂಗ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹಾಗಿರುವಾಗ ಟ್ರೈನಿಂಗ್ ಆಗಿರುವವರಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಒತ್ತಾಯ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇನೋ ಇದೆ, ಅದನ್ನು ಬಹಳ ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆಯಿಂದ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕ್ಲಾಸಿನಿಂದ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇಂಡಸ್ಟ್ರಿಯಲ್ ಟ್ರೈನಿಂಗ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಜನರಲ್ ಎಜುಕೇಷನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಇಂಡಸ್ಟ್ರಿಯಲ್ ಟ್ರೈನಿಂಗ್ ಎರಡೂ ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆ ಎಸ್. ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ.—ಟ್ರೈನಿಂಗ್ ಆದವರಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಾ? ಕೊಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳನ್ನಾದರೂ ಕೊಡದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅವರು ಭಿಕ್ಷೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಹಾಗೆ ಕೆಲವರು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಅವನು ಹೇಳುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಅರ್ಥವಿದೆ. ಅವರಿಗಾಗಿ ಇಷ್ಟೆಲ್ಲಾ ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದಿದ್ದರೆ ಭಿಕ್ಷೆ ಬೇಡುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇದೆ, ಅದನ್ನು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ.—ಅಂಗವಿಕಲರೆಷ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂಕಿ ಅಂಶವಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—1951 ರ ಸೆನ್ಸಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಶೇಖರಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದಾದ ನಂತರ ಎರಡು ಸಲ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ 8 ಸಾವಿರ ಜನರಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಪಡೆದವರಿಗೆ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕಾ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳನ್ನು ಮೀಸಲಾಗಿಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸೂಚಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ.—ಏನಾಗಿದೆ ಅರ್ಥವೇನು? ಬರೀ ಸೂಚನೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಇದೆಯೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Whenever it is brought to their notice, they do it. It is not the Education Minister. But it is the Minister for Labour, who is in charge of Labour and Employment. At least that was what I was doing when I was in charge.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಆರ್. ಕೇತವಮೂರ್ತಿ.—ಕುರುಡರು ಮತ್ತು ಮೂಗರಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ? ಕುಂಟರು ಮತ್ತು ಫಿಸಿಕಲಿಡಿಸ್ ಏಬಲ್ಡ್ ಇಂಥವರಿಗೇನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಕುಂಟರು, ಹೆಳವರು ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಫಿಸಿಕಲಿ ಹ್ಯಾಂಡಿಕ್ಯಾಪ್ಡ್ ಎಂಬುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ.—ಮೂರು ಏಜೆನ್ಸಿಗಳು ಮುಂದೆ ಬಂದಿವೆ ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅವು ಬಂದು ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸಗಳಾದುವು? ಇದುವರೆಗೆ ಇದರಿಂದೇನು ಸಹಾಯವಾಗಿದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ನಾನು ಮೇಲುಕೋಟೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಉಳಿದ ಕಡೆ ಹೋಗಿಲ್ಲ, ಆ ಸಮಾಚಾರ ತಿಳಿಯದು.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION

Resetting of optional History Paper

Q.—17. Sri S. M. KRISHNA (Maddur).—

Will the Goverment be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the Optional History question paper of the S.S.L.C. Examination has been reset;

(SRI S. M. KRISHNA)

(*b*) whether telegrams have been sent to various Examiners to collect the reset question paper from the District Educational Officers in the State in the last twenty-four hours ;

(*c*) the amount spent on the telegrams ;

(*d*) the reasons for the leakage of puestion paper ;

(*e*) what steps have the Government taken to ensure the sanctity and secrecy of the question papers ?

A.—Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—

(*a*), (*b*) and (*c*) It is not in the public interest to disclose the details.

(*d*) Government are not aware of any leakage of the question paper.

(*e*) Adequate steps have been taken by Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The next item on the Agenda is Short Notice question given notice of by Sri S. M. Krishna. Before I allow that to be put, what is the position to-day, because we are beyond the 30th. It was mentioned that two sets of papers had leaked out. One, I was told was not correct. I passed on the papers to Hon'ble Member Sri Muckannappa. Those papers have not been given back to me. He said that one question was similar. I asked him to make it complete, and state whether it tallied 75 per cent or 50 per cent or 25 per cent. The Hon'ble Member will kindly bring it and pass it on to me. What about the History paper ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—History paper was given to the boys and we have found there is no leakage. I have made enquiries from the moment this news was released here and I have found that there is no leakage. To my knowledge and the knowledge of the dapartment we have not been able to find out that.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Does Sri Krishna know any questions ? So far as I remember, it was stated on the floor of the House that three hundred telegrams were issued not to distribute question papers. The Hon'ble Member also said that "Identically worded or actual questions were received by him by post". Therefore, I want to make the position clear. Had Hon'ble Member Sri Krishna got any question in his hand at the time when this question was put ?

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—The very fact that Government did not give a clear cut answer—if the Government had sent telegrams to various examiners in the State asking them to come and get set papers—adds to my contention that the history papers have been leaked out.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Absolutely bad logic. Does he know for what reason they could have asked them to come and take the papers ? To urge only on that ground is not consistent with logic. Has the Hon'ble Member got any question similar to the one found in the question paper ? 30th is over, and those questions are out and I wanted to test it in that fashion.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—I gave notice of this question four days back. Immediately I came to know that Government took an extreme step of issuing instructions to the examiners to take the paper on Monday. If this question has come up before that I would have produced that question paper that was alleged to have been leaked out.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He must be in a better position now to produce the question paper.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Now, it becomes purely an academic issue. I would like to know from the Government why it is that every year that some of these allegations are made and why give room to such allegations.

Mr. SPEAKER.—How is the Government responsible for the allegations ?

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Nobody will simply get up and make an allegations that the History paper is out or that the Mathematics paper is out unless it has leaked out.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I want to know if the Hon'ble Member had any thing in his hands when the question was put. If he had the same on the 30th, he would be in a better position today. I am saying that for guidance in the future. Otherwise, it creates a scare in the minds of the public, and the students who may have a desire to get examinations postponed might be induced to invoke this method.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Have the Government sent some of these telegrams ? What prevented the Government from saying that nothing has been done ? Why should they take shelter under public interest and all that ? That only lend support to my submission that such a thing has happened.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Has the Hon'ble Minister got a copy of the question paper distributed to students on the 30th ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—I can produce it if you want. I have not got it, but I can produce in one hour or so.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Can the Hon'ble Member Sri Krishna produce the question paper that he had before the 3 th ?

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—If the Hon'ble Minister can give answers to my questions, a, b, c, I will produce the question paper.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let us not attach conditions. If somebody begins to attach conditions to the position taken, then I will also have to attach conditions.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—It is one of the clever manouvres of the Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will hold it over for tomorrow. But I would like to have a copy of the question paper.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—The question paper is now given to the students. What is the use of saying that the Hon'ble Member should submit a copy. A copy can be made and submitted to you.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I refuse to accept that reflection that an Hon'ble Member will copy and give me the question paper.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—It is no reflection, Sir. It is a possibility.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Some of the Hon'ble Members are so gullible and innocent. They seem to bring papers just because they receive them.

Mr. SPEAKER.—They may be doing it *bona-fide*.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Of course, I said gullible, Sir.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—If anybody is gullible, it is the Government and not the members.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This is not the time for exchange of compliments. I want to have something more precise for my guidance for the future. 30th is over. I do not think anything serious has happened as to endanger the purity of examinations. For the future, anybody or a student who may be interested in postponement may do some such thing. The Hon'ble Members should have more positive information so that I should have more positive information before asking the Government to look into it.

Otherwise when the notice is given if I do not admit it, members will feel that some chance has been denied; but if I have to admit without any data it is not fair either for me or for the House. I wish things of this type are handled delicately. They can come to me and in confidence discuss about questions that are said to be similar to the one found in the question paper Simply because telegrams have been sent it is not correct to raise it. But, anv way tha is over.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ —ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಪಾನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸದಸ್ಯರೆಲ್ಲ 'ಇನ್ನೊಸೆಂಟು, ಗಲ್ಲಿಬರ್' ಎನು ವ ಶಬ್ದ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿದರು. ಅಂತಹ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳು ಯಾರು ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನೂ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿರುತ್ತಿತ್ತು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He did not say all. He said some.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ —ಕೆಲವರು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಏಕೆ, ನಿಮಗೆ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಏನು ದೋಷ ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ? ನನಗೇನೂ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಇಂತಹ ರಿಮಾರ್ಕ್ ತಮಗೂ ಅಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ನನಗನಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಆರ್. ಕೇಶವ ಮೂರ್ತಿ.—ತೆಲಗಾಂ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಇದೆ. ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಇದಕ್ಕಾದರೂ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Sir, more relevant questions have been answered.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I said, it is over.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Sir, you have admitted the question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have said that it is over.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—I want an information. If you are satisfied with the relevancy of my question you may please allow.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I said it is over.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Has it come to the notice of the Government hat last year when the questions were out, re-examinations were held and an enquiry committee was appointed to go into the whole question of leakage of questions. May I know what steps have been taken on the Enquiry Committee's report and whether anybody has been punished?

Mr. SPEAKER—This relates to a particular question paper to be answered by the students on the 30th. The Hon'ble member's supplementary does not relate to this year at all. It is a past event which is known to the entire House.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—May I know what action the Government have taken on the report ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—He can put a separate question.

Sri H. R. KESHAVA MURTHY.—Sir, there is time. I request you to kindly put question No. 115 because it is important.

Mr. SPEAKER.—All right. There is time. I put question 115.

Constitution of the Board of Mineral Development

*Q.—115. Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR (Sampagaon-II).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) when the Board of Mineral Development was constituted ;

(*b*) what is the capital investment on it so far ?

(*c*) the profit and loss account year-wise for the past 3 years ;

(*d*) the total quantity of mineral ore extracted so far year-wise statement of mineral ores handled for the past 3 years may be furnished?

A.—Sri K. MALLAPPA (Minister for Industries and Commerce).—

(*a*) During 1957

(*b*) Rs. 196.11 lakhs as on 31st March 1963.

(*c*)

	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Gross Profit	6·82 lakhs	11·73 lakhs	13·67 lakhs.

(*d*) *Vide* Statement appended.

Production of Minerals during the last three years in lakh Tons

			1960–61	1961–62	1962–63
1	Iron Ore	...	0.49	1.91	3.48
2	Manganese Ore	...	0.20	0.50	0.58
3	Raw Clay	...	0.21	0.26	0.28
4	China Clay	...	0.02	0.02	0.02
5	Aluminous Clay	...	0.05	0.06	0.07
6	Chromite (High Gr.)	...	0.02	0.03	0.09
7	Feldspar	...	0.006	0.01	0.02
8	Graphite	...	---	0.005	0.005
9	Quartz	...	0.02	0.02	0.01
10	Soap Stone Bricks	...	0.06	0.81	0.69